(3)

C.S. 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3380 OF 2004

PETITIONER : Ram Kumar Namdev, Son of late Shri Suryadeen Namdev, aged about 63 years, Retired Asst. Vetenary Field Officer, resident of Ring Road Namna kala, Ambikapur, Distt : Surguja (CG)

3352/04
Presented by Shri D.C. Verma
dated 17-9-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, General Administration Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.

2. The Director, Vetenary Services, Raipur, Distt : Raipur (C G).

3. The Dy. Director, Vetenary Services, Ambikapur, Distt : Surguja (C.G.)

4. Shri N.L. Gupta, Assistant Vetenary Field Officer, Ambikapur, Distt : Surguja (C.G.)

17/9/04
Distt. to A.C. Bilaspur

17/9/04

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRITS , DIRECTION IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS ..... ETC.

(32)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3380/2004 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	27.1.2005 Shri V.K.Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Yashwant Singh, Government Advocate for the State. Heard on the question of admission. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working as Assistant Veterinary Field Officer and has retired on 30.9.2001. By an order dated 23.3.2003, benefit of higher pay scale was given to the petitioner with effect from 19.4.1999 vide Annexure P-1 in which the name of the petitioner is at serial No. 131. He also submits that the benefit of higher pay scale has also been given to respondent No.4 whose name appears at serial No. 125 in the aforesaid order and the actual order of fixation is also filed as Annexure P-2. This benefit of higher pay scale was not extended to the petitioner and no reason has been assigned for the same. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitoner pryas that the petitioner may be given an opportunity to file a representation before the respondent No.3 and respondent No.3 should be directed to decide the same in accordance with law in a stipulated period given by this Court.	

(33)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3380 सन् 2004.

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	This limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by counsel for the State. The prayer is allowed. It is directed that the petitioner shall file a detailed representation alongwith a copy of this order and a copy of writ petition before the respondent No. 3 and respondent No.3 or the concerned authority vested with the powers as the case may be, shall decide the same as early as possible preferrably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt/filing of the representation. The order shall be a reasoned order, replying all the grievances raised by the petitioner in the representation. With the aforesaid ovservations, the petition stands finally disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	

GRPRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.